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## Context And Password Score Band Revision

Password English language tests and test modules are designed and academically managed by CRELLA (the Centre for Research in English Language Learning and Assessment) at the University of Bedfordshire. Founded by Professor Cyril Weir OBE and directed by Professor Anthony Green, CRELLA are a research group of world leading experts in testing and assessment, who are involved in the development and validation of many of the world's most renowned English language assessments including Password, IELTS, TOEFL and the Cambridge suite.

This document was originally written in 2011 when the only Password English test was the Password Knowledge test, and herein "Password" refers to the "Password Knowledge" test.

It is based on analysis of data collected in 2010 and 2011, and at that time Password had a top score band of " 6.5 or above". Subsequent data and analysis allowed CRELLA to have confidence in Password's reliability and accuracy at higher levels and Password scoring was revised and the top Password score band raised to "7.0 or above" (CEFR C1).

## Introduction

English Language Testing Ltd (ELT), the creators of the Password tests, and the Centre for Research in English Language Learning and Assessment (CRELLA) at the University of Bedfordshire, its academic designers and managers, are committed to on-going research into the Password test's performance. This study into Password's reliability and accuracy forms a part of that process and is based on data from over 5,000 representative test takers. The evidence is that the Password test is an extremely reliable test, discriminating effectively from the A 2 into the C 1 level of the Common European Framework (approximately IELTS 3.5 to IELTS 6.5) and so confirming that Password is a valuable tool for its intended assessment, counselling, screening and placement purposes.

## The Password Test

Password was launched in 2008 by ELT, based in London, United Kingdom, whose shareholders include the University of the Arts, London. The test was designed by the CRELLA with input from a steering group that included representatives of the University of Southampton, the University of Reading and the University of the Arts London, with wider consultation across the Higher Education sector.

## Test Purpose

Password is intended to:

- assess language knowledge - knowledge of grammar and vocabulary in context - rather than language skills - reading, listening, writing, speaking
- discriminate most effectively from the A 2 into the C 1 level of the Common European Framework, or from approximately IELTS 3.5 to IELTS 6.5
- indicate the amount of English language instruction that is required before learners will be ready for admission to a university degree level academic course
- indicate the amount of English language instruction that is required before learners will be ready for a test involving extensive text-based reception and production, such as the International English Language Testing System (IELTS), Test of English as a Foreign Language (TOEFL) or Pearson Test of English (PTE) Academic
- inform decisions on placing learners into the most suitable class for their level of language ability
- screen students joining university degree level academic courses to identify those in need of additional English language support (in-sessional English)

In higher education (HE) institutions where the medium of instruction is English, proficiency in English is a fundamental precondition for academic success. Most international students wishing to access and gain maximum benefit from English-medium academic courses will require preparation both in relation to their language abilities and in terms of the academic culture of the receiving institution

The Password test responds to the general need for a quick and inexpensive, but accurate indication of a learner's level of English language proficiency and the distance learners may need to cover in their language learning in order to reach an adequate standard for academic study with little or no language support. While comprehensive skills-based tests are suitable for those who are already equipped to enter English medium academic courses or are very close to this level, many students
will need extensive language study before they approach the required standard. For these learners in particular, Password is a more suitable assessment.

## Password Test Takers

The majority of Password test takers are young, educated non-native English speaking adults between the ages of 17 and 25 from a wide range of linguistic, cultural and educational backgrounds. Most will already have experienced several years of formal instruction in English as a foreign Language, but may not have had previous experience of life in an English speaking country or of hearing English spoken around them.

## Using Password

Unlike many other English language tests used by HE institutions and related organisations, Password is securely administered and constantly monitored for quality. The test is based on an extensive bank of material that is regularly updated and monitored statistically to ensure consistency of standards. In this way, each test taker receives a unique selection of items, but can be located on the same measurement scale as all other Password test takers.

## Validity

Validity represents the extent to which the interpretation of test scores is justified by evidence. Tests can be more valid for one purpose than for another, but users will always need to consider the extent to which a test provides relevant information that will help them to arrive at well-informed decisions. Evidence for validity may include the rationale for the design of the test and the measurement qualities of the test questions. Does the test cover the areas of knowledge, skills and ability that are of interest to the test user? Is the test capable of providing consistent and meaningful results?

## Rationale For The Password Test Design

This section briefly explains why the Password test focuses on key areas of learners' knowledge of grammar and vocabulary.

## Grammar And Vocabulary Are Powerful Indicators Of Overall Language Ability

It has long been acknowledged that tests of grammar and vocabulary knowledge can provide a useful indication of a learner's general language abilities and of their performance on skills based test components - particularly reading and writing (Weir 1983, Read 2000, Hughes 2003, Purpura 2004, Shiotsu and Weir 2007; Hawkey 2009). Across tests that include components addressing grammar and vocabulary together with skills-based sections, the highest correlations between individual test parts and the overall scores are generally those for lexico-grammatical components such as the Use of English papers found in Cambridge examinations (Hawkey 2009) or the Structure and Written Expression component of the paper based TOEFL test (see for example Educational Testing Service 1997). Grammar and vocabulary components also tend to be the most efficient and reliable sections of a test. They are less susceptible to measurement error than other test sections and so provide more consistent scores.

In fact, the relationship between lexico-grammatical measures and overall ratings of language abilities is so strong that grammar tests are often used by researchers as indicators of general language proficiency (see for example Purpura 1999) and it was argued during the 1970s and 1980s that they were sufficient for the full range of language testing purposes (see Oller, 1979). Indeed, after a comprehensive four year multi faceted test development programme, the high correlations found between the grammar section of the Test in English for Academic Purposes (TEAP, now TEEP) led Weir (1983, p.521) to conclude that, 'the test of grammar might be a sufficient indicator on its own of a student's ability to cope with the language demands made on students by English medium study'. Similarly, Alderson (1993) notes that the pilot grammar component of IELTS correlated so highly with other components of the test that a distinct grammar component was felt to be unnecessary in the operational test. For both TEEP and IELTS the use of skills based components was favoured over grammar because the test developers wanted to encourage learners to develop their

Taken together with their ease of administration and scoring, the benefits of well designed grammar and vocabulary tests make them very attractive options for placement (Green and Weir, 2002). However, we believe that there are further convincing reasons to favour their use in the specific context of Password.

## Grammar And Vocabulary Are Fundamental To All Language Use

This is especially true for academic purposes and for lower level language learners.
Assessment of subject knowledge in academic contexts depends predominantly on academic writing - essays, reports, dissertations and theses - based in extensive reading (see Weir et al. 2009) - we have seen that these skills are the most closely linked to performance on tests of grammar and vocabulary. Even presentations and seminar papers may consist largely of written work presented orally.

Successful academic writing requires accurate use of language both at the level of the phrase and sentence and in the organisation of extended discourse. Research suggests that the development of discourse level skills requires a good level of lexico-grammatical knowledge (Shaw and Weir 2007, Khalifa and Weir 2009) while discourse is rightly a focus for advanced EAP courses. In other words, learners who are able to use a wide range of structures and a good command of vocabulary are likely to benefit most from instruction in discourse level skills and can build their awareness of academic register. Those who are not able to form sentences accurately are unlikely to able to organise their ideas effectively and with sensitivity at the level of the text.

## Grammar And Vocabulary Are Common Features Of All Language Learning

When learners arrive to take a language course, they will often be coming from a wide range of educational contexts. As a result, at course entry listening tends to be an unstable skill (Jordan 1997). Some learners will be arriving from locations where they have had not heard English spoken and will need time to adjust themselves to the sounds of English, others may be continuing to study or may have recently spent time in an English speaking country and so have already passed through such an adjustment. This process of 'tuning-in' is usually relatively rapid and over the course of a few weeks learners with a sound grasp of grammar and vocabulary can make very quick progress with listening comprehension while those with longer exposure, but less language knowledge will struggle to improve their comprehension. Tests of listening given at course entry will therefore lack accurate predictive power. We believe that in this context, it is better to consider the relatively stable knowledge of grammar and vocabulary as a basis for placement than to attempt to combine these with measures of listening ability.

Tests of grammar and vocabulary are common in almost every educational system, whatever the favoured method of teaching. This is not true of tests of oral or written production and lack of

保 stakes tests as it can be assumed that learners are motivated to learn about the test format and practice accordingly (Green 2007). The same assumption cannot be made for a test like Password which nonetheless needs to be immediately accessible to the full range of test takers. The use of familiar selected response formats ensures that Password holds no surprises for test takers whatever their background.

## Test Development

Password was developed on the basis of Weir's (2005) socio-cognitive framework for test validation. The chief concern is with the processing of language at the word and sentence levels fundamental to both comprehension and production and with the academic social context: we are concerned with the language used in academic textbooks, in student writing and that encountered in the daily lives of students.

Detailed test specifications have been developed to reflect the core language knowledge that students need to acquire before they will be able to cope with understanding and producing academic texts. These specifications are based on a number of sources:

- Research carried out by CRELLA into the nature of academic language use (Weir et al 2009)
- Communicative functions (and their associated grammar and vocabulary) found in popular English text books that are widely used on pathway programmes.
- The Breakthrough, Threshold and Vantage specifications for English describing the A2, B1 and B2 levels of the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (van Ek and Trim 1991a, 1991b, 2000, Council of Europe 2001).
- Common patterns of error in grammatical structures and vocabulary choice made by pathway learners in their written work.
- Research evidence on the essential grammar and vocabulary needed to support academic study (Weir 1983; Nation 1990). We used corpus based wordlists such as the academic wordlist (Coxhead 2000) and word frequency lists based on the British National Corpus to identify words that learners would need to know in order to access academic texts across disciplines.
- Grammar and vocabulary books designed for learners of English such as Murphy (2004) and McCarthy and O'Dell (2008) and reference books such as Greenbaum and Quirk (1993), Carter and McCarthy (2006) and Schmitt (2000).


## Overview Of Password Item Development Processes



Figure 1 - Item development process for Password

All Password questions are written by a suitably qualified team of item writers with a postgraduate qualification in EFL or related field - a Diploma in English Language Teaching (Cambridge ESOL DELTA) or Masters in English Language Teaching or Applied Linguistics - and experience as a teacher of English for Academic Purposes. All item writers are given training in writing items for Password and follow detailed item writer guidelines (a version of the test specifications that includes detailed information about item characteristics) laid down by the test developers.

The process of generating new test material follows the steps set out in Figure 1, which are explained below.

## Commissioning

A regular request is made to the item writers to submit a given number of test items of specified types based on the item writer guidelines. The writers are given a period of three to four weeks to craft and submit a number of items in conformity with these guidelines.

## Item Review

A review panel made up of members of CRELLA and Password staff review all submitted items, considering how well they reflect the guidelines and their suitability in terms of their likely difficulty and of cultural accessibility or sensitivity.

For each item the panel makes one of three recommendations: accept, revise or reject. Accepted items are input to the Password test delivery system for piloting. Where minor revisions are considered necessary ( $25 \%$ to $35 \%$ of cases), these are made by the review panel and the amended items are input for piloting. In $10 \%$ to $20 \%$ of cases, the items are rejected. Feedback is given by the panel to the item writers on the reasons for revision or rejection.

## Piloting

Once they have been accepted, the pilot items are uploaded to the pilot item bank ready for trialling with Password test takers. A small number of pilot test items are administered alongside the operational test items in each Password test administration. The test takers' responses to pilot items do not contribute to their official scores, but the results are stored for analysis. In this way, we can be confident that test takers respond to the pilot items in the same way as they do to the operational items.

Once a pilot item has been administered with a sufficient number (at least 250) of test takers it is withdrawn from the pilot bank on the Password system and analysed statistically. The difficulty of the new item (as measured on the Password scale) is calculated through a technique known as Rasch analysis. Results on pilot items are compared with results for items of known difficulty from the operational item bank. A small number of pilot items are rejected at this stage either because they are at a level of difficulty outside the intended range, or because they yield results that are inconsistent with the rest of the test.

Once items have been piloted and their difficulty established, they are promoted to the live test item bank. The performance of items is regularly reviewed to ensure that it continues to be appropriate and items are periodically rested or retired from the operational item bank.

## Test Structure

The test consists of 100 selected response items ( 60 discrete questions), an additional 20 un-scored pilot items ( 12 discrete questions) are embedded in the test for the purpose of pre-testing. Each correct response is scored as 1 point.

Each test taker completes a short background questionnaire and a can-do self-assessment form before attempting the test. Time spent completing the background questionnaire, can-do selfassessment and on the example items does not count towards the time allotted to the test itself.

Each test section is preceded by instructions and examples explaining the item types in the section.

Test takers are allowed one hour to complete the test (although in practice most complete within 45 minutes).

The test has five sections. Details of the item types in each section are given below.

| Test <br> part | Test focus | Question format | Number of <br> questions | Number of pilot <br> questions | Scoring |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Part 1 | grammar and <br> vocabulary | 3-option multiple- <br> choice - single gap-fill | 15 | 3 | 15 <br> points |
| Part 2 | grammar and <br> vocabulary | 3-option multiple- <br> choice - two or three <br> gaps | 12 | 2 | 30 <br> points |
| Part 3 | vocabulary: <br> synonymy | 15 five-option <br> questions | 15 | 3 | 15 <br> points |
| Part 4 | vocabulary: <br> collocation | five-option multiple- <br> choice | 9 | 2 | 9 points |
| Part 5 | grammar and <br> discourse | multiple true-false/ <br> sentence matching | 9 | 2 | 31 |
| Total |  |  | 60 | 12 | points |

Table 1 - Password item types

## Sample Questions

## Part $1 \quad$ Getting to College

My teachers helped me so much with applying for colleges. I $\square$
have made it through the process without their help!


| Part 3 | "Newton's laws are adequate for explaining how apples fall from trees." |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Which word is most like adequate? |
| ○ sufficient |  |
| o projected |  |
| o corresponding |  |
|  | $\circ$ equated |
|  | $\circ$ dependent |

Part 4 "That pile of bricks is enormous."
Which word is most often used with enormous?

- enormous quality
- enormous amount
- enormous mode
- enormous trace
- enormous code

Part 5 (i) Painting a House
My friends are getting painted their house next week so they are going to stay in a hotel.

- right
- wrong
, My friends are having their house painted next week so they are going to stay in a hotel.
- right
- wrong
, Next week my friends' house is being painted so they are going to stay in a hotel.
- right
- wrong


## Part 5 (ii) The Time

Student A: Why are you so late?
Student B: There was no clock in the room so I didn't know what time it was.

- right

O wrong

Student A: Why are you so late?
Student B: There was no clock in the room so I didn't know what the time was.

- right

O wrong
Student A: Why are you so late?
Student B: There was no clock in the room so I didn't know it was what time.

- right

○ wrong

Student A: Why are you so late?
Student B: There was no clock in the room so I didn't know was what the time.

- right
- wrong


## Scoring And Score Interpretation

| Password score | Password band | Common European Framework (CEFR) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76-100 | 6.5 and above | C1 and above |
| 69-75 | 6.0 | B2 |
| 63-68 | 5.5 |  |
| 57-62 | 5.0 | B1 |
| 51-56 | 4.5 |  |
| 45-50 | 4.0 |  |
| 40-44 | 3.5 | A2 |
| 35-39 | 3.0 |  |
| 0-34 | Pre-Password | A1 and below |

Table 2 - Password score interpretation

Table 1 shows how Password scores are reported both as a percentage and as a band score.
Password scores are broadly predictive of outcomes on tests linked to the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR) (Council of Europe, 2001) and of IELTS scores so that a score of Password 5.5, for example, would suggest that a learner would be ready to attempt a B2 level test or attend a B2 level language course. Evidence of these relationships can be found in documents on the website www.englishlanguagetesting.co.uk.

## Test Data And Analysis



Figure 2 - Test takers by first language 2010-2011


Figure 3 - Test takers by age 2010-2011


Figure 4 - Distribution of total Password scores 2010-2011

## Descriptive Statistics

## Overall

| Mean | 59.870 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Standard deviation | 16.196 |
| Alpha | 0.916 |
| SEM | 1.361 |

## By language

| Language | Mean | Standard deviation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arabic | 55.65 | 13.45 |
| Chinese | 57.55 | 11.97 |
| Greek | 68.61 | 12.55 |
| Japanese | 65.95 | 12.56 |
| Korean | 60.83 | 12.30 |

## Percentile ranks

| Percentile | Password score |
| :---: | :---: |
| 99 | 90 |
| 95 | 83 |
| 90 | 79 |
| 85 | 76 |
| 80 | 73 |
| 75 | 71 |
| 72 | 69 |
| 69 | 68 |
| 66 | 67 |
| 64 | 66 |
| 61 | 65 |
| 58 | 64 |
| 55 | 63 |
| 53 | 62 |
| 50 | 61 |
| 48 | 60 |
| 45 | 59 |
| 43 | 58 |
| 40 | 57 |
| 37 | 56 |


| Percentile | Password score |
| :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 55 |
| 31 | 54 |
| 29 | 53 |
| 27 | 52 |
| 24 | 51 |
| 22 | 50 |
| 20 | 49 |
| 18 | 48 |
| 16 | 47 |
| 14 | 46 |
| 13 | 45 |
| 12 | 44 |
| 11 | 43 |
| 10 | 42 |
| 9 | 41 |
| 8 | 40 |
| 7 | 39 |
| 6 | 37 |
| 5 | 34 |

## Reliability

Reliability is an important issue to consider in interpreting and using test scores. The more reliable the scores are, the more confidence we can have that the scores measure test takers' abilities in a consistent manner.

Based on data from a representative sample of over 5,000 Password tests administered in the period 2010-2011, a statistical estimate of the reliability of Password (Cronbach's coefficient alpha) is 0.916. A widely accepted rule of thumb for interpreting Cronbach's coefficient alpha is that greater than 0.9 is excellent; 0.8 is good and 0.7 is acceptable (George \& Mallery 2003).

This gives a standard error of measurement (SEM) of 1.361. The SEM is an indication of the precision of test scores and signifies how close a test taker's observed test score might be to their true ability on the test.

In the case of Password the SEM of 1.36 means that we can be $95 \%$ confident the test taker's true score is within 2.66 points ( $+/-1.96$ SEMs) of their observed Password score. This means that when tests taker's actual points score is in the middle of a Password grade e.g. 60 points in the 57 to 62 point - inclusive - Password 5.0 grade band we can be $95 \%$ confident that the test taker's Password result is correct. As the test taker's actual score moves closer to either the upper or lower Password grade points boundaries there is an increased probability that their true score is Password 0.5 higher or lower than that reported. Users can be over 99.999\% confident that a test taker's true Password score is not more than 0.5 higher or lower than that reported.

The evidence is that Password test results exhibit excellent reliability.


## Related Documents

The Password website (www.englishlanguagetesting.co.uk) provides further information on, and other documents related to, Password tests.

These include:

- The NCUK paper entitled: 'The Password Test - An Investigation Into Predictive Validity', showing further evidence of the Password test's reliability and it's use as a predictive test.
- Those documenting the alignment of Password scores to the CEFR.

